

# Testing airfoils for wind turbines The 3D challenges



**Marinos Manolesos** 

National Technical University of Athens Fluids Section





## 2D wind tunnel polars for airfoils



Incompressible, "external", wall bounded flow, Re O(10<sup>6</sup>)



## **2D wind tunnel polars for airfoils**



Blade Element Momentum (BEM) ➡ Code

- Annual Energy Production
- Loads on blades

Main assumption:

The flow around the airfoil is 2D.

Question:

Does a symmetric 2D set-up result in symmetric 2D flow?

## Introduction



#### **2D wind tunnel polars for airfoils**



The flow around the airfoil is 2D.

Question:

Does a symmetric 2D set-up result in symmetric 2D flow?



#### Wing with free tips







#### Wing with endplates





#### Wall to wall wing model





#### Wall to wall wing model + endplates







#### The flow is always 3D at the tips

#### **Free tips**



#### Wall to wall/endplate models



[Apsley, 2001]

[Head, 1981]

## **Experimental Set Up**

## What happens away from the tips? 2D flow under attached flow conditions









## What happens away from the tips? 3D flow around maximum Lift *Stall Cells* are formed









#### **Stall Cells are coherent structures of separated flow**

- large scale
- consist of a pair of counter rotating vortices
- are unstable
- have been observed for a very wide range of Reynolds (Re) numbers
- <u>are not a tip effect</u>



U∞





#### Structure



AR=2.0, Re=1.0×10<sup>6</sup>, α = 16°

- The SC vortex
  - starts normal to the wing surface
- The Separation Line Vortex (SLV)
  - parallel to the wing TE
- The TE line vortex (TELV)
  - parallel to the SLV but with vorticity of opposite sign

## Stall Cells



#### Structure



14/32

## Stall Cells



#### Instability

• Their number or position can change arbitrarily



## Stall Cells



#### Instability

• Their number or position can change arbitrarily

- Their movement/formation shows no apparent periodicity
  - No correlation with Re number, aspect ratio or angle of attack has been found.



AR 2.0,  $\alpha = 11^{\circ}$ , Re = 1.0x10<sup>6</sup>

# Implications



#### Know what you measure





#### Know what you measure



Pressure time series from tap at x/c = 0.11



#### Know what you measure



a = 19deg - Re 1.5e6

Cp distribution, conditional averaging 19/32



#### Know what you measure







#### Know what you compare to



## Implications



#### Know what you compute



# Multiple solutions exist for the discretized RANS equations

- Grid
- Turbulence model
- Convergence
- Initial conditions
- Implementation of boundary conditions
- Perturbations

Which solutions correspond to real flow?



#### **Passive Vortex Generators (VGs)**

- VGs are vanes located normal to the wing surface
- Their height is at the order of the Boundary Layer height
- They create streamwise vortices that energize the boundary layer and thus delay separation
- Easy to construct, position and repair, light weight





#### **Passive Vortex Generators can delay SC formation**

without VGs

U<sub>∞</sub>,

with VGs





 $\bigcup_{\infty}$ 



#### **Passive Vortex Generators can delay SC formation**

- Clmax increased substantially (~50%)
- Drag penalty at lower angles of attack (~0.002)





#### **Passive Vortex Generators can delay SC formation**

• Depending on the chordwise location of the VGs, flow hysteresis may appear



## Stall Cells, where else?



#### **On wind turbine blades at standstill**



[Boorsma, 2014]





#### **On wind turbine blades at standstill**



[Sorensen & Schreck, 2012]



#### **Formation Hypothesis**



Initial 2D formation



Separation shear layer folds up



#### 3D instability develops



Final time averaged flow





#### Does a symmetric 2D set-up result in symmetric 2D flow?

#### Yes, under attached flow conditions

#### Not in the case of 3D trailing edge separation



What causes the Stall Cell unsteadiness?

How do adjacent Stall Cells interact with each other?

What is the link between Stall Cells and blade vibrations?

What happens on a rotating blade?

How do we determine whether a numerical solution is "real" or not?

Thank you for your attention.



## References



- Nakayama, Y. (ed.)1988. *Visualized flow: fluid motion in basic and engineering situations revealed by flow visualization*. Oxford: Pergamon.
- Head, M. R. "Flow visualisation in Cambridge University Engineering Department." *International Symposium on Flow Visualization, Bochum, West Germany.* 1981.
- Apsley, D. D., and M. A. Leschziner. "Investigation of advanced turbulence models for the flow in a generic wing-body junction." *Flow, Turbulence and Combustion* 67.1 (2001): 25-55.
- Kamenetskiy, D. S., Bussoletti, J. E., Hilmes, C. L., Venkatakrishnan, V., Wigton, L. B., & Johnson, F. T. (2014). Numerical Evidence of Multiple Solutions for the Reynolds-Averaged Navier–Stokes Equations. *AIAA Journal, 52(8), 1686-1698. doi: 10.2514/1.j052676*
- Boorsma, K., Schepers, J. G., Gomez-Iradi, S., Madsen, H. A., Sørensen, N., Shen, W. Z., Schreck, S. Mexnext-II: The Latest Results on Experimental Wind Turbine Aerodynamics.
- Sørensen, Niels N., and Scott Schreck. "Computation of the National Renewable Energy Laboratory Phase-VI rotor in pitch motion during standstill." *Wind Energy* 15.3 (2012): 425-442.
- Manolesos, M., and Voutsinas, S. G. "Geometrical characterization of stall cells on rectangular wings." *Wind Energy* 17.9 (2013): 1301-1314.
- Manolesos, M., Papadakis, G., and Voutsinas, S. G. "Experimental and computational analysis of stall cells on rectangular wings." *Wind Energy* 17.6 (2014): 939-955.
- Manolesos, M., and Voutsinas, S. G. "Study of a stall cell using stereo particle image velocimetry." *Physics of Fluids (1994-present)* 26.4 (2014): 045101.
- Manolesos, Marinos, Giorgos Papadakis, and Spyros G. Voutsinas. "Assessment of the CFD capabilities to predict aerodynamic flows in presence of VG arrays." *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*. Vol. 524. No. 1. IOP Publishing, 2014.